

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 13 JUN 2005

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

WIPO

PCT

PCT

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/IB2005/050993

International filing date (day/month/year)  
23.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)  
29.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
F21V14/06

Applicant  
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas  
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl  
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Cosnard, D

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3913



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IB2005/050993

---

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:  
☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:  
☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:  
☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IB2005/050993

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-6
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-6
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-6
	No: Claims	

**2. Citations and explanations**

**see separate sheet**

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050993

**Re Item V.**

**1 Reference is made to the following documents:**

D1 : DE 100 05 795 A1 (INSTITUT FUER MIKROTECHNIK MAINZ GMBH) 23  
August 2001 (2001-08-23)

D2 : US 5 630 661 A (FOX ET AL) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20)

D3 : DE 44 35 446 A1 (ROBERT BOSCH GMBH, 70469 STUTTGART, DE) 21  
September 1995 (1995-09-21)

D4 : DE 39 26 618 A1 (PHILIPS PATENTVERWALTUNG GMBH, 2000 HAMBURG,  
DE) 14 February 1991 (1991-02-14)

**2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1**

**2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.**

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):  
A floodlight (see figure 10) comprising means for generating a convergent beam (light source and reflector in the drawings with the three positions of the lens) having a central axis, and a lens (10) located substantially around said central axis, the floodlight comprising means (12-15) for moving said lens with respect to said generating means.

**2.2 Document D2 discloses also all the features of claim 1.**

**2.3 Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.**

**3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 3, 4, 5, 6**

Dependent claims 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT), see documents D1 to D4 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

\*\*\*\*\*

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 13 JUN 2005

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

WIPO

PCT

PCT

To:

see form PCT/SA/220

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/SA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/SA/220

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/IB2005/050993

International filing date (day/month/year)  
23.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)  
29.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
F21V14/06

Applicant  
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/SA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/SA/220.

### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/SA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas  
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl  
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Cosnard, D

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3913



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IB2005/050993

---

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:  
☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:  
☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:  
☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IB2005/050993

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*b*/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or  
Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-6
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-6
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-6
	No: Claims	

**2. Citations and explanations**

**see separate sheet**



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

**PCT/IB2005/050993**

**Re Item V.**

**1 Reference is made to the following documents:**

D1 : DE 100 05 795 A1 (INSTITUT FUER MIKROTECHNIK MAINZ GMBH) 23  
August 2001 (2001-08-23)

D2 : US 5 630 661 A (FOX ET AL) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20)

D3 : DE 44 35 446 A1 (ROBERT BOSCH GMBH, 70469-STUTTGART, DE) 21  
September 1995 (1995-09-21)

D4 : DE 39 26 618 A1 (PHILIPS PATENTVERWALTUNG GMBH, 2000 HAMBURG,  
DE) 14 February 1991 (1991-02-14)

**2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1**

**2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.**

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):  
A floodlight (see figure 10) comprising means for generating a convergent beam (light source and reflector in the drawings with the three positions of the lens) having a central axis, and a lens (10) located substantially around said central axis, the floodlight comprising means (12-15) for moving said lens with respect to said generating means.

**2.2 Document D2 discloses also all the features of claim 1.**

**2.3 Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.**

**3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 3, 4, 5, 6**

Dependent claims 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT), see documents D1 to D4 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

\*\*\*\*\*